

RECEIVED

2802

JAN - 4 REC'D

RECEIVED

FORM LETTER
I
1-10

November 24, 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

DEC 30 2009

To: Environmental Quality Board

Subject: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA.CODE CHS. 121 AND 123]
Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers
[39 Pa.B.6068]

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

After reading and reviewing this 12 page proposal there are several serious concerns I would like to bring to your attention. While I do understand the concerns the EQB has with certain nuisance OWB's and the number of complaints from individuals living next to one of these nuisance OWB's, I don't see the seriousness or feel the necessity for the EQB to regulate all OWB's within this Commonwealth. The number of complaints you receive verses the number of OWB's in this Commonwealth has to be less than 1% (please correct me if I'm wrong). I feel this is the job of the local municipalities. Here in Central PA most municipalities have already banned the sale of OWB's within borough limits and the townships already have restrictions and/or guidelines as to where and how future OWB's may be installed. If the EQB deems it necessary to be involved with this issue, then I ask that you give identification to, and pass legislation to regulate only those OWB's considered, after thorough testing and of which you have already received numerous complaints, to be nuisance OWB's. Furthermore, with the economy being what it is, why would the EQB put this burden on themselves (with DEP already making cut backs), on the manufacturers (there are at least 5 in this Commonwealth), on the distributors and dealers (there are hundreds in this Commonwealth), and on the owners themselves who purchased an OWB in good moral judgment and will now be subject to thousands of dollars in expenses raising their stack heights (if even possible because of terrain) or will have to sell their OWB to someone living outside this Commonwealth, if that's even possible.

Whether the PA EQB gets involved or not, Federal Regulation within the next several years will most likely mandate all manufacturers of OWB's to be phase 2 compliant, just as it did years ago with the auto and trucking industry. This problem will take care of itself just as the automobile industry did. The old OWB's will die out and the new phase 2 units will be purchased (and by the way, no government agency has ever banned the sale of used autos in this country because they don't meet current regulations). If the EQB wants to help the PA economy and not negatively affect it, here are some important factors in this proposal which need changing:

1. Only OWB's deemed as nuisance OWB's should be required to raise their stack height, and that height should be determined by their bordering neighbors chimney height.
2. There should be no requirements for phase 2 stack heights. The stack height of the phase 2 OWB is an integrated part of the OWB, altering this would negatively affect the performance of the OWB.
3. Used OWB's should be allowable for resale inside this Commonwealth even after phase 2 regulations have passed, or the EQB should seek government funding to help those individuals who wish to upgrade from their current OWB to a phase 2 unit (similar to the federal cash for clunkers law). As the proposal now stands, if you purchased an OWB in 2009 for \$10,000, and you cannot raise your stack height to the standards in this proposal because of terrain, your \$10,000 investment is now useless and you cannot sell it inside this Commonwealth. No just government agency within the United States should ever pass such an unjust law without proper restitution to the people.
4. The proposed setback requirements for phase 2 units should be cut in half from 150' to 75'. The EQB needs to take a closer look at just how little smoke .32 pound PM per million BTU heat output is.
5. Pennsylvania terrain varies greatly. Any seasonal prohibitions should be left up to the local municipalities.
6. Any registration of any appliance sold in the United States is strictly between the manufacturer and the buyer. No government agency should ever pass any type of legislation which would require the purchaser or the seller to register their OWB appliance, firearm, etc. with any Federal, State, or Local government agency, or for them to have to forfeit these records upon request to the agency.

I understand the underlying reasons and situations leading up to this proposal, the pros and cons. I also understand that Pennsylvania is part of a Democratic nation, which is why I ask the EQB to take into consideration the concerns in this letter.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

RICK VONADA
609 LOHR ROAD
MIFFLINBURG, PA 17844
PHONE: 570-966-1624

2802 RECEIVED RECEIVED
JAN - 4 REC'D

November 24, 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION DEC 29 2009

To: Environmental Quality Board

Subject: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA.CODE CHS. 121 AND 123]
Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers
[39 Pa.B.6068]

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

After reading and reviewing this 12 page proposal there are several serious concerns I would like to bring to your attention. While I do understand the concerns the EQB has with certain nuisance OWB's and the number of complaints from individuals living next to one of these nuisance OWB's, I don't see the seriousness or feel the necessity for the EQB to regulate all OWB's within this Commonwealth. The number of complaints you receive verses the number of OWB's in this Commonwealth has to be less than 1% (please correct me if I'm wrong). I feel this is the job of the local municipalities. Here in Central PA most municipalities have already banned the sale of OWB's within borough limits and the townships already have restrictions and/or guidelines as to where and how future OWB's may be installed. If the EQB deems it necessary to be involved with this issue, then I ask that you give identification to, and pass legislation to regulate only those OWB's considered, after thorough testing and of which you have already received numerous complaints, to be nuisance OWB's. Furthermore, with the economy being what it is, why would the EQB put this burden on themselves (with DEP already making cut backs), on the manufacturers (there are at least 5 in this Commonwealth), on the distributors and dealers (there are hundreds in this Commonwealth), and on the owners themselves who purchased an OWB in good moral judgment and will now be subject to thousands of dollars in expenses raising their stack heights (if even possible because of terrain) or will have to sell their OWB to someone living outside this Commonwealth, if that's even possible.

Whether the PA EQB gets involved or not, Federal Regulation within the next several years will most likely mandate all manufacturers of OWB's to be phase 2 compliant, just as it did years ago with the auto and trucking industry. This problem will take care of itself just as the automobile industry did. The old OWB's will die out and the new phase 2 units will be purchased (and by the way, no government agency has ever banned the sale of used autos in this country because they don't meet current regulations). If the EQB wants to help the PA economy and not negatively affect it, here are some important factors in this proposal which need changing:

1. Only OWB's deemed as nuisance OWB's should be required to raise their stack height, and that height should be determined by their bordering neighbors chimney height.
2. There should be no requirements for phase 2 stack heights. The stack height of the phase 2 OWB is an integrated part of the OWB, altering this would negatively affect the performance of the OWB.
3. Used OWB's should be allowable for resale inside this Commonwealth even after phase 2 regulations have passed, or the EQB should seek government funding to help those individuals who wish to upgrade from their current OWB to a phase 2 unit (similar to the federal cash for clunkers law). As the proposal now stands, if you purchased an OWB in 2009 for \$10,000, and you cannot raise your stack height to the standards in this proposal because of terrain, your \$10,000 investment is now useless and you cannot sell it inside this Commonwealth. No just government agency within the United States should ever pass such an unjust law without proper restitution to the people.
4. The proposed setback requirements for phase 2 units should be cut in half from 150' to 75'. The EQB needs to take a closer look at just how little smoke .32 pound PM per million BTU heat output is.
5. Pennsylvania terrain varies greatly. Any seasonal prohibitions should be left up to the local municipalities.
6. Any registration of any appliance sold in the United States is strictly between the manufacturer and the buyer. No government agency should ever pass any type of legislation which would require the purchaser or the seller to register their OWB appliance, firearm, etc. with any Federal, State, or Local government agency, or for them to have to forfeit these records upon request to the agency.

I understand the underlying reasons and situations leading up to this proposal, the pros and cons. I also understand that Pennsylvania is part of a Democratic nation, which is why I ask the EQB to take into consideration the concerns in this letter.


Thank you.

Sincerely, Brian Vonada

MOTORCYCLE HALL OF FAME MUSEUM SUPPORTER


1
9
5
4

Brian R. Vonada
221 Kramer Rd.
Aaronsburg, PA 16820



Environmental Quality Board
P.O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

171058477



2802

RECEIVED

JAN - 4 REC'D

RECEIVED

November 24, 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

DEC 31 2009

To: Environmental Quality Board

Subject: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA.CODE CHS. 121 AND 123]
Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers
[39 Pa.B.6068]

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

After reading and reviewing this 12 page proposal there are several serious concerns I would like to bring to your attention. While I do understand the concerns the EQB has with certain nuisance OWB's and the number of complaints from individuals living next to one of these nuisance OWB's, I don't see the seriousness or feel the necessity for the EQB to regulate all OWB's within this Commonwealth. The number of complaints you receive verses the number of OWB's in this Commonwealth has to be less than 1% (please correct me if I'm wrong). I feel this is the job of the local municipalities. Here in Central PA most municipalities have already banned the sale of OWB's within borough limits and the townships already have restrictions and/or guidelines as to where and how future OWB's may be installed. If the EQB deems it necessary to be involved with this issue, then I ask that you give identification to, and pass legislation to regulate only those OWB's considered , after thorough testing and of which you have already received numerous complaints, to be nuisance OWB's. Furthermore, with the economy being what it is, why would the EQB put this burden on themselves (with DEP already making cut backs), on the manufacturers (there are at least 5 in this Commonwealth), on the distributors and dealers (there are hundreds in this Commonwealth), and on the owners themselves who purchased an OWB in good moral judgment and will now be subject to thousands of dollars in expenses raising their stack heights (if even possible because of terrain) or will have to sell their OWB to someone living outside this Commonwealth, if that's even possible.

Whether the PA EQB gets involved or not, Federal Regulation within the next several years will most likely mandate all manufacturers of OWB's to be phase 2 compliant, just as it did years ago with the auto and trucking industry. This problem will take care of itself just as the automobile industry did. The old OWB's will die out and the new phase 2 units will be purchased (and by the way, no government agency has ever banned the sale of used autos in this country because they don't meet current regulations). If the EQB wants to help the PA economy and not negatively affect it, here are some important factors in this proposal which need changing:

1. Only OWB's deemed as nuisance OWB's should be required to raise their stack height, and that height should be determined by their bordering neighbors chimney height.
2. There should be no requirements for phase 2 stack heights. The stack height of the phase 2 OWB is an integrated part of the OWB, altering this would negatively affect the performance of the OWB.
3. Used OWB's should be allowable for resale inside this Commonwealth even after phase 2 regulations have passed, or the EQB should seek government funding to help those individuals who wish to upgrade from their current OWB to a phase 2 unit (similar to the federal cash for clunkers law). As the proposal now stands, if you purchased an OWB in 2009 for \$10,000, and you cannot raise your stack height to the standards in this proposal because of terrain, your \$10,000 investment is now useless and you cannot sell it inside this Commonwealth. No just government agency within the United States should ever pass such an unjust law without proper restitution to the people.
4. The proposed setback requirements for phase 2 units should be cut in half from 150' to 75'. The EQB needs to take a closer look at just how little smoke .32 pound PM per million BTU heat output is.
5. Pennsylvania terrain varies greatly. Any seasonal prohibitions should be left up to the local municipalities.
6. Any registration of any appliance sold in the United States is strictly between the manufacturer and the buyer. No government agency should ever pass any type of legislation which would require the purchaser or the seller to register their OWB appliance, firearm, etc. with any Federal, State, or Local government agency, or for them to have to forfeit these records upon request to the agency.

I understand the underlying reasons and situations leading up to this proposal, the pros and cons. I also understand that Pennsylvania is part of a Democratic nation, which is why I ask the EQB to take into consideration the concerns in this letter.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Todd J Stitzer

Todd J Stitzer
4179 Penns Valley Road
Spring Mills PA 16875

Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

17105+8477

17105+8477



2802

RECEIVED

JAN - 4 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

RECEIVED

DEC 30 2009

November 24, 2009

To: Environmental Quality Board

Subject: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA.CODE CHS. 121 AND 123]
Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers
[39 Pa.B.6068]

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

After reading and reviewing this 12 page proposal there are several serious concerns I would like to bring to your attention. While I do understand the concerns the EQB has with certain nuisance OWB's and the number of complaints from individuals living next to one of these nuisance OWB's, I don't see the seriousness or feel the necessity for the EQB to regulate all OWB's within this Commonwealth. The number of complaints you receive verses the number of OWB's in this Commonwealth has to be less than 1% (please correct me if I'm wrong). I feel this is the job of the local municipalities. Here in Central PA most municipalities have already banned the sale of OWB's within borough limits and the townships already have restrictions and/or guidelines as to where and how future OWB's may be installed. If the EQB deems it necessary to be involved with this issue, then I ask that you give identification to, and pass legislation to regulate only those OWB's considered, after thorough testing and of which you have already received numerous complaints, to be nuisance OWB's. Furthermore, with the economy being what it is, why would the EQB put this burden on themselves (with DEP already making cut backs), on the manufacturers (there are at least 5 in this Commonwealth), on the distributors and dealers (there are hundreds in this Commonwealth), and on the owners themselves who purchased an OWB in good moral judgment and will now be subject to thousands of dollars in expenses raising their stack heights (if even possible because of terrain) or will have to sell their OWB to someone living outside this Commonwealth, if that's even possible.


Whether the PA EQB gets involved or not, Federal Regulation within the next several years will most likely mandate all manufacturers of OWB's to be phase 2 compliant, just as it did years ago with the auto and trucking industry. This problem will take care of itself just as the automobile industry did. The old OWB's will die out and the new phase 2 units will be purchased (and by the way, no government agency has ever banned the sale of used autos in this country because they don't meet current regulations). If the EQB wants to help the PA economy and not negatively affect it, here are some important factors in this proposal which need changing:

1. Only OWB's deemed as nuisance OWB's should be required to raise their stack height, and that height should be determined by their bordering neighbors chimney height.
2. There should be no requirements for phase 2 stack heights. The stack height of the phase 2 OWB is an integrated part of the OWB, altering this would negatively affect the performance of the OWB.
3. Used OWB's should be allowable for resale inside this Commonwealth even after phase 2 regulations have passed, or the EQB should seek government funding to help those individuals who wish to upgrade from their current OWB to a phase 2 unit (similar to the federal cash for clunkers law). As the proposal now stands, if you purchased an OWB in 2009 for \$10,000, and you cannot raise your stack height to the standards in this proposal because of terrain, your \$10,000 investment is now useless and you cannot sell it inside this Commonwealth. No just government agency within the United States should ever pass such an unjust law without proper restitution to the people.
4. The proposed setback requirements for phase 2 units should be cut in half from 150' to 75'. The EQB needs to take a closer look at just how little smoke .32 pound PM per million BTU heat output is.
5. Pennsylvania terrain varies greatly. Any seasonal prohibitions should be left up to the local municipalities.
6. Any registration of any appliance sold in the United States is strictly between the manufacturer and the buyer. No government agency should ever pass any type of legislation which would require the purchaser or the seller to register their OWB appliance, firearm, etc. with any Federal, State, or Local government agency, or for them to have to forfeit these records upon request to the agency.

I understand the underlying reasons and situations leading up to this proposal, the pros and cons. I also understand that Pennsylvania is part of a Democratic nation, which is why I ask the EQB to take into consideration the concerns in this letter.

Thank you.

Sincerely,


479 Lohn Rd
Wittsburg, Pa. 17898

Robert Grease
479 Lake Rd
Mifflinburg, Pa.
17844

Rachel Carson
State Office Building
16 + A Floor 400 Market St.
Harrisburg, Pa 17101-2301

2802 RECEIVED

JAN - 4 REC'D

RECEIVED

November 24, 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

DEC 31 2009

To: Environmental Quality Board

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

Subject: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA.CODE CHS. 121 AND 123]
Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers
[39 Pa.B.6068]

After reading and reviewing this 12 page proposal there are several serious concerns I would like to bring to your attention. While I do understand the concerns the EQB has with certain nuisance OWB's and the number of complaints from individuals living next to one of these nuisance OWB's, I don't see the seriousness or feel the necessity for the EQB to regulate all OWB's within this Commonwealth. The number of complaints you receive verses the number of OWB's in this Commonwealth has to be less than 1% (please correct me if I'm wrong). I feel this is the job of the local municipalities. Here in Central PA most municipalities have already banned the sale of OWB's within borough limits and the townships already have restrictions and/or guidelines as to where and how future OWB's may be installed. If the EQB deems it necessary to be involved with this issue, then I ask that you give identification to, and pass legislation to regulate only those OWB's considered, after thorough testing and of which you have already received numerous complaints, to be nuisance OWB's. Furthermore, with the economy being what it is, why would the EQB put this burden on themselves (with DEP already making cut backs), on the manufacturers (there are at least 5 in this Commonwealth), on the distributors and dealers (there are hundreds in this Commonwealth), and on the owners themselves who purchased an OWB in good moral judgment and will now be subject to thousands of dollars in expenses raising their stack heights (if even possible because of terrain) or will have to sell their OWB to someone living outside this Commonwealth, if that's even possible.

Whether the PA EQB gets involved or not, Federal Regulation within the next several years will most likely mandate all manufacturers of OWB's to be phase 2 compliant, just as it did years ago with the auto and trucking industry. This problem will take care of itself just as the automobile industry did. The old OWB's will die out and the new phase 2 units will be purchased (and by the way, no government agency has ever banned the sale of used autos in this country because they don't meet current regulations). If the EQB wants to help the PA economy and not negatively affect it, here are some important factors in this proposal which need changing:

1. Only OWB's deemed as nuisance OWB's should be required to raise their stack height, and that height should be determined by their bordering neighbors chimney height.
2. There should be no requirements for phase 2 stack heights. The stack height of the phase 2 OWB is an integrated part of the OWB, altering this would negatively affect the performance of the OWB.
3. Used OWB's should be allowable for resale inside this Commonwealth even after phase 2 regulations have passed, or the EQB should seek government funding to help those individuals who wish to upgrade from their current OWB to a phase 2 unit (similar to the federal cash for clunkers law). As the proposal now stands, if you purchased an OWB in 2009 for \$10,000, and you cannot raise your stack height to the standards in this proposal because of terrain, your \$10,000 investment is now useless and you cannot sell it inside this Commonwealth. No just government agency within the United States should ever pass such an unjust law without proper restitution to the people.
4. The proposed setback requirements for phase 2 units should be cut in half from 150' to 75'. The EQB needs to take a closer look at just how little smoke .32 pound PM per million BTU heat output is.
5. Pennsylvania terrain varies greatly. Any seasonal prohibitions should be left up to the local municipalities.
6. Any registration of any appliance sold in the United States is strictly between the manufacturer and the buyer. No government agency should ever pass any type of legislation which would require the purchaser or the seller to register their OWB appliance, firearm, etc. with any Federal, State, or Local government agency, or for them to have to forfeit these records upon request to the agency.

I understand the underlying reasons and situations leading up to this proposal, the pros and cons. I also understand that Pennsylvania is part of a Democratic nation, which is why I ask the EQB to take into consideration the concerns in this letter.


Thank you.
Sincerely,


Nancy B. Faust

Mt. Charles, Pa. Foust
515 Green Grove Rd
Spring Mills, PA 16875

ALTOONA, PA. 15605

29 DEC 2009 PM 1 T




Environmental Quality Board

P.O. Box 8477

Harrisburg Pa 17105-8477

17105+8477



2802

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

JAN - 4 REC'D

November 24, 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

DEC 31 2009

To: Environmental Quality Board

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

Subject: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA.CODE CHS. 121 AND 123]
Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers
[39 Pa.B.6068]


After reading and reviewing this 12 page proposal there are several serious concerns I would like to bring to your attention. While I do understand the concerns the EQB has with certain nuisance OWB's and the number of complaints from individuals living next to one of these nuisance OWB's, I don't see the seriousness or feel the necessity for the EQB to regulate all OWB's within this Commonwealth. The number of complaints you receive verses the number of OWB's in this Commonwealth has to be less than 1% (please correct me if I'm wrong). I feel this is the job of the local municipalities. Here in Central PA most municipalities have already banned the sale of OWB's within borough limits and the townships already have restrictions and/or guidelines as to where and how future OWB's may be installed. If the EQB deems it necessary to be involved with this issue, then I ask that you give identification to, and pass legislation to regulate only those OWB's considered , after thorough testing and of which you have already received numerous complaints, to be nuisance OWB's. Furthermore, with the economy being what it is, why would the EQB put this burden on themselves (with DEP already making cut backs), on the manufacturers (there are at least 5 in this Commonwealth), on the distributors and dealers (there are hundreds in this Commonwealth), and on the owners themselves who purchased an OWB in good moral judgment and will now be subject to thousands of dollars in expenses raising their stack heights (if even possible because of terrain) or will have to sell their OWB to someone living outside this Commonwealth, if that's even possible.

Whether the PA EQB gets involved or not, Federal Regulation within the next several years will most likely mandate all manufacturers of OWB's to be phase 2 compliant, just as it did years ago with the auto and trucking industry. This problem will take care of itself just as the automobile industry did. The old OWB's will die out and the new phase 2 units will be purchased (and by the way, no government agency has ever banned the sale of used autos in this country because they don't meet current regulations). If the EQB wants to help the PA economy and not negatively affect it, here are some important factors in this proposal which need changing:

1. Only OWB's deemed as nuisance OWB's should be required to raise their stack height, and that height should be determined by their bordering neighbors chimney height.
2. There should be no requirements for phase 2 stack heights. The stack height of the phase 2 OWB is an integrated part of the OWB, altering this would negatively affect the performance of the OWB.
3. Used OWB's should be allowable for resale inside this Commonwealth even after phase 2 regulations have passed, or the EQB should seek government funding to help those individuals who wish to upgrade from their current OWB to a phase 2 unit (similar to the federal cash for clunkers law). As the proposal now stands, if you purchased an OWB in 2009 for \$10,000, and you cannot raise your stack height to the standards in this proposal because of terrain, your \$10,000 investment is now useless and you cannot sell it inside this Commonwealth. No just government agency within the United States should ever pass such an unjust law without proper restitution to the people.
4. The proposed setback requirements for phase 2 units should be cut in half from 150' to 75'. The EQB needs to take a closer look at just how little smoke .32 pound PM per million BTU heat output is.
5. Pennsylvania terrain varies greatly. Any seasonal prohibitions should be left up to the local municipalities.
6. Any registration of any appliance sold in the United States is strictly between the manufacturer and the buyer. No government agency should ever pass any type of legislation which would require the purchaser or the seller to register their OWB appliance, firearm, etc. with any Federal, State, or Local government agency, or for them to have to forfeit these records upon request to the agency.

I understand the underlying reasons and situations leading up to this proposal, the pros and cons. I also understand that Pennsylvania is part of a Democratic nation, which is why I ask the EQB to take into consideration the concerns in this letter.

Thank you
Sincerely,


Christopher G. Cole

PENNWOODS EQUINE PRODUCTS

P.O. Box 385 ~ Allison Street
Centre Hall, PA 16828

ALLISON PA 16828
DEC 2009 PM 2 L



Environmental Quality Board
P.O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, Pa.

17105+8477

17105 8477

2802

RECEIVED

JAN - 4 REC'D

RECEIVED

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

November 24, 2009

DEC 31 2009

To: Environmental Quality Board

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

Subject: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA.CODE CHS. 121 AND 123]
Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers
[39 Pa.B.6068]

After reading and reviewing this 12 page proposal there are several serious concerns I would like to bring to your attention. While I do understand the concerns the EQB has with certain nuisance OWB's and the number of complaints from individuals living next to one of these nuisance OWB's, I don't see the seriousness or feel the necessity for the EQB to regulate all OWB's within this Commonwealth. The number of complaints you receive verses the number of OWB's in this Commonwealth has to be less than 1% (please correct me if I'm wrong). I feel this is the job of the local municipalities. Here in Central PA most municipalities have already banned the sale of OWB's within borough limits and the townships already have restrictions and/or guidelines as to where and how future OWB's may be installed. If the EQB deems it necessary to be involved with this issue, then I ask that you give identification to, and pass legislation to regulate only those OWB's considered, after thorough testing and of which you have already received numerous complaints, to be nuisance OWB's. Furthermore, with the economy being what it is, why would the EQB put this burden on themselves (with DEP already making cut backs), on the manufacturers (there are at least 5 in this Commonwealth), on the distributors and dealers (there are hundreds in this Commonwealth), and on the owners themselves who purchased an OWB in good moral judgment and will now be subject to thousands of dollars in expenses raising their stack heights (if even possible because of terrain) or will have to sell their OWB to someone living outside this Commonwealth, if that's even possible.

Whether the PA EQB gets involved or not, Federal Regulation within the next several years will most likely mandate all manufacturers of OWB's to be phase 2 compliant, just as it did years ago with the auto and trucking industry. This problem will take care of itself just as the automobile industry did. The old OWB's will die out and the new phase 2 units will be purchased (and by the way, no government agency has ever banned the sale of used autos in this country because they don't meet current regulations). If the EQB wants to help the PA economy and not negatively affect it, here are some important factors in this proposal which need changing:

1. Only OWB's deemed as nuisance OWB's should be required to raise their stack height, and that height should be determined by their bordering neighbors chimney height.
2. There should be no requirements for phase 2 stack heights. The stack height of the phase 2 OWB is an integrated part of the OWB, altering this would negatively affect the performance of the OWB.
3. Used OWB's should be allowable for resale inside this Commonwealth even after phase 2 regulations have passed, or the EQB should seek government funding to help those individuals who wish to upgrade from their current OWB to a phase 2 unit (similar to the federal cash for clunkers law). As the proposal now stands, if you purchased an OWB in 2009 for \$10,000, and you cannot raise your stack height to the standards in this proposal because of terrain, your \$10,000 investment is now useless and you cannot sell it inside this Commonwealth. No just government agency within the United States should ever pass such an unjust law without proper restitution to the people.
4. The proposed setback requirements for phase 2 units should be cut in half from 150' to 75'. The EQB needs to take a closer look at just how little smoke .32 pound PM per million BTU heat output is.
5. Pennsylvania terrain varies greatly. Any seasonal prohibitions should be left up to the local municipalities.
6. Any registration of any appliance sold in the United States is strictly between the manufacturer and the buyer. No government agency should ever pass any type of legislation which would require the purchaser or the seller to register their OWB appliance, firearm, etc. with any Federal, State, or Local government agency, or for them to have to forfeit these records upon request to the agency.

I understand the underlying reasons and situations leading up to this proposal, the pros and cons. I also understand that Pennsylvania is part of a Democratic nation, which is why I ask the EQB to take into consideration the concerns in this letter.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Boyd A. Mussen Jr.

B.A. Messer
Po Box 318
Spring Mills Pa 16825



Environmental Quality Board
Po Box 8477
Harrisburg Pa 17105-8477

171058477



2802

RECEIVED

JAN - 4 REC'D

RECEIVED

November 24, 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

DEC 31 2009

To: Environmental Quality Board

Subject: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA.CODE CHS. 121 AND 123]
Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers
[39 Pa.B.6068]

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

After reading and reviewing this 12 page proposal there are several serious concerns I would like to bring to your attention. While I do understand the concerns the EQB has with certain nuisance OWB's and the number of complaints from individuals living next to one of these nuisance OWB's, I don't see the seriousness or feel the necessity for the EQB to regulate all OWB's within this Commonwealth. The number of complaints you receive verses the number of OWB's in this Commonwealth has to be less than 1% (please correct me if I'm wrong). I feel this is the job of the local municipalities. Here in Central PA most municipalities have already banned the sale of OWB's within borough limits and the townships already have restrictions and/or guidelines as to where and how future OWB's may be installed. If the EQB deems it necessary to be involved with this issue, then I ask that you give identification to, and pass legislation to regulate only those OWB's considered, after thorough testing and of which you have already received numerous complaints, to be nuisance OWB's. Furthermore, with the economy being what it is, why would the EQB put this burden on themselves (with DEP already making cut backs), on the manufacturers (there are at least 5 in this Commonwealth), on the distributors and dealers (there are hundreds in this Commonwealth), and on the owners themselves who purchased an OWB in good moral judgment and will now be subject to thousands of dollars in expenses raising their stack heights (if even possible because of terrain) or will have to sell their OWB to someone living outside this Commonwealth, if that's even possible.


Whether the PA EQB gets involved or not, Federal Regulation within the next several years will most likely mandate all manufacturers of OWB's to be phase 2 compliant, just as it did years ago with the auto and trucking industry. This problem will take care of itself just as the automobile industry did. The old OWB's will die out and the new phase 2 units will be purchased (and by the way, no government agency has ever banned the sale of used autos in this country because they don't meet current regulations). If the EQB wants to help the PA economy and not negatively affect it, here are some important factors in this proposal which need changing:

1. Only OWB's deemed as nuisance OWB's should be required to raise their stack height, and that height should be determined by their bordering neighbors chimney height.
2. There should be no requirements for phase 2 stack heights. The stack height of the phase 2 OWB is an integrated part of the OWB, altering this would negatively affect the performance of the OWB.
3. Used OWB's should be allowable for resale inside this Commonwealth even after phase 2 regulations have passed, or the EQB should seek government funding to help those individuals who wish to upgrade from their current OWB to a phase 2 unit (similar to the federal cash for clunkers law). As the proposal now stands, if you purchased an OWB in 2009 for \$10,000, and you cannot raise your stack height to the standards in this proposal because of terrain, your \$10,000 investment is now useless and you cannot sell it inside this Commonwealth. No just government agency within the United States should ever pass such an unjust law without proper restitution to the people.
4. The proposed setback requirements for phase 2 units should be cut in half from 150' to 75'. The EQB needs to take a closer look at just how little smoke .32 pound PM per million BTU heat output is.
5. Pennsylvania terrain varies greatly. Any seasonal prohibitions should be left up to the local municipalities.
6. Any registration of any appliance sold in the United States is strictly between the manufacturer and the buyer. No government agency should ever pass any type of legislation which would require the purchaser or the seller to register their OWB appliance, firearm, etc. with any Federal, State, or Local government agency, or for them to have to forfeit these records upon request to the agency.

I understand the underlying reasons and situations leading up to this proposal, the pros and cons. I also understand that Pennsylvania is part of a Democratic nation, which is why I ask the EQB to take into consideration the concerns in this letter.

Thank you.

Sincerely,



B.A. Musser
Po Box 318
Spring Mills Pa 16875



Environmental Quality Board
Po Box 8477
Harrisburg Pa 17105-8477

171058477



2802

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

November 24, 2009

JAN - 4 REC'D

DEC 31 2009

To: Environmental Quality Board

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

Subject: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA.CODE CHS. 121 AND 123]
Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers
[39 Pa.B.6068]

After reading and reviewing this 12 page proposal there are several serious concerns I would like to bring to your attention. While I do understand the concerns the EQB has with certain nuisance OWB's and the number of complaints from individuals living next to one of these nuisance OWB's, I don't see the seriousness or feel the necessity for the EQB to regulate all OWB's within this Commonwealth. The number of complaints you receive verses the number of OWB's in this Commonwealth has to be less than 1% (please correct me if I'm wrong). I feel this is the job of the local municipalities. Here in Central PA most municipalities have already banned the sale of OWB's within borough limits and the townships already have restrictions and/or guidelines as to where and how future OWB's may be installed. If the EQB deems it necessary to be involved with this issue, then I ask that you give identification to, and pass legislation to regulate only those OWB's considered, after thorough testing and of which you have already received numerous complaints, to be nuisance OWB's. Furthermore, with the economy being what it is, why would the EQB put this burden on themselves (with DEP already making cut backs), on the manufacturers (there are at least 5 in this Commonwealth), on the distributors and dealers (there are hundreds in this Commonwealth), and on the owners themselves who purchased an OWB in good moral judgment and will now be subject to thousands of dollars in expenses raising their stack heights (if even possible because of terrain) or will have to sell their OWB to someone living outside this Commonwealth, if that's even possible.

Whether the PA EQB gets involved or not, Federal Regulation within the next several years will most likely mandate all manufacturers of OWB's to be phase 2 compliant, just as it did years ago with the auto and trucking industry. This problem will take care of itself just as the automobile industry did. The old OWB's will die out and the new phase 2 units will be purchased (and by the way, no government agency has ever banned the sale of used autos in this country because they don't meet current regulations). If the EQB wants to help the PA economy and not negatively affect it, here are some important factors in this proposal which need changing:

1. Only OWB's deemed as nuisance OWB's should be required to raise their stack height, and that height should be determined by their bordering neighbors chimney height.
2. There should be no requirements for phase 2 stack heights. The stack height of the phase 2 OWB is an integrated part of the OWB, altering this would negatively affect the performance of the OWB.
3. Used OWB's should be allowable for resale inside this Commonwealth even after phase 2 regulations have passed, or the EQB should seek government funding to help those individuals who wish to upgrade from their current OWB to a phase 2 unit (similar to the federal cash for clunkers law). As the proposal now stands, if you purchased an OWB in 2009 for \$10,000, and you cannot raise your stack height to the standards in this proposal because of terrain, your \$10,000 investment is now useless and you cannot sell it inside this Commonwealth. No just government agency within the United States should ever pass such an unjust law without proper restitution to the people.
4. The proposed setback requirements for phase 2 units should be cut in half from 150' to 75'. The EQB needs to take a closer look at just how little smoke .32 pound PM per million BTU heat output is.
5. Pennsylvania terrain varies greatly. Any seasonal prohibitions should be left up to the local municipalities.
6. Any registration of any appliance sold in the United States is strictly between the manufacturer and the buyer. No government agency should ever pass any type of legislation which would require the purchaser or the seller to register their OWB appliance, firearm, etc. with any Federal, State, or Local government agency, or for them to have to forfeit these records upon request to the agency.

I understand the underlying reasons and situations leading up to this proposal, the pros and cons. I also understand that Pennsylvania is part of a Democratic nation, which is why I ask the EQB to take into consideration the concerns in this letter.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kevin H. Rides

632 Green Grove Rd.
Spring Mills, PA, 16875

ALTOONA, PA 158

29 DEC 2009 PM 2 L




Environmental Quality Board

P.O. Box 8477

Harriedburg, PA. 17105-8477

17105+8477



2802

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

JAN - 4 REC'D

November 24, 2009

DEC 31 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

To: Environmental Quality Board

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

Subject: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA.CODE CHS. 121 AND 123]
Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers
[39 Pa.B.6068]

After reading and reviewing this 12 page proposal there are several serious concerns I would like to bring to your attention. While I do understand the concerns the EQB has with certain nuisance OWB's and the number of complaints from individuals living next to one of these nuisance OWB's, I don't see the seriousness or feel the necessity for the EQB to regulate all OWB's within this Commonwealth. The number of complaints you receive verses the number of OWB's in this Commonwealth has to be less than 1% (please correct me if I'm wrong). I feel this is the job of the local municipalities. Here in Central PA most municipalities have already banned the sale of OWB's within borough limits and the townships already have restrictions and/or guidelines as to where and how future OWB's may be installed. If the EQB deems it necessary to be involved with this issue, then I ask that you give identification to, and pass legislation to regulate only those OWB's considered, after thorough testing and of which you have already received numerous complaints, to be nuisance OWB's. Furthermore, with the economy being what it is, why would the EQB put this burden on themselves (with DEP already making cut backs), on the manufacturers (there are at least 5 in this Commonwealth), on the distributors and dealers (there are hundreds in this Commonwealth), and on the owners themselves who purchased an OWB in good moral judgment and will now be subject to thousands of dollars in expenses raising their stack heights (if even possible because of terrain) or will have to sell their OWB to someone living outside this Commonwealth, if that's even possible.


Whether the PA EQB gets involved or not, Federal Regulation within the next several years will most likely mandate all manufacturers of OWB's to be phase 2 compliant, just as it did years ago with the auto and trucking industry. This problem will take care of itself just as the automobile industry did. The old OWB's will die out and the new phase 2 units will be purchased (and by the way, no government agency has ever banned the sale of used autos in this country because they don't meet current regulations). If the EQB wants to help the PA economy and not negatively affect it, here are some important factors in this proposal which need changing:

1. Only OWB's deemed as nuisance OWB's should be required to raise their stack height, and that height should be determined by their bordering neighbors chimney height.
2. There should be no requirements for phase 2 stack heights. The stack height of the phase 2 OWB is an integrated part of the OWB, altering this would negatively affect the performance of the OWB.
3. Used OWB's should be allowable for resale inside this Commonwealth even after phase 2 regulations have passed, or the EQB should seek government funding to help those individuals who wish to upgrade from their current OWB to a phase 2 unit (similar to the federal cash for clunkers law). As the proposal now stands, if you purchased an OWB in 2009 for \$10,000, and you cannot raise your stack height to the standards in this proposal because of terrain, your \$10,000 investment is now useless and you cannot sell it inside this Commonwealth. No just government agency within the United States should ever pass such an unjust law without proper restitution to the people.
4. The proposed setback requirements for phase 2 units should be cut in half from 150' to 75'. The EQB needs to take a closer look at just how little smoke .32 pound PM per million BTU heat output is.
5. Pennsylvania terrain varies greatly. Any seasonal prohibitions should be left up to the local municipalities.
6. Any registration of any appliance sold in the United States is strictly between the manufacturer and the buyer. No government agency should ever pass any type of legislation which would require the purchaser or the seller to register their OWB appliance, firearm, etc. with any Federal, State, or Local government agency, or for them to have to forfeit these records upon request to the agency.

I understand the underlying reasons and situations leading up to this proposal, the pros and cons. I also understand that Pennsylvania is part of a Democratic nation, which is why I ask the EQB to take into consideration the concerns in this letter.

Thank you.

Sincerely,



RT 45
Spring Mill PA 16875

Randy & Dianna Johnson
112 Birch Ln
Spring Mills, PA 16875-7919

ALTONIA PA 168

SEP 28 2002 PM 2 L



Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

17105-8477

